

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,569	10/24/2003	Kensaku Motoki	33035M0342	6958
MITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL 1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER	
			PIZARRO CRESPO, MARCOS D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/691,569	MOTOKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marcos D. Pizarro-Crespo	2814			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the part of the second section apport the second seco	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONGEN OF THE MA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 D	ecember 2006.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		action is non-final.				
3) 🗌						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38 and 39</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 38 and 39 are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) diplected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/3/2007</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/691,569 (Final Rejection) Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

Attorney's Docket Number: 33035M0342

Filing Date: 10/24/2003

Claimed Priority Dates: 4/28/2000 (Divisional of 09/560,818)

10/29/1998 (CIP of PCT/JP98/04908)

4/14/1998 (JP 102546/1998) 1/20/1998 (JP 009008/1998) 10/30/1997 (JP 298300/1997)

Applicant(s): Motoki et al.

Examiner: Marcos D. Pizarro-Crespo

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action responds to the amendment filed on 12/18/2006.

Acknowledgment

1. The amendment filed on 12/18/2006, responding to the Office action mailed on 9/19/2006, has been entered. The present Office action is made with all the suggested amendments being fully considered. Accordingly, pending in this Office action are claims 38 and 39.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Claims 38 and 39 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:
 - Species 1, wherein the epitaxial layer is grown by the HVPE method to form an ingot
 - Species 2, wherein the epitaxial layer is grown by the organic metal chloride vapor-phase growth to form an ingot
 - Species 3, wherein the epitaxial layer is grown by the MOCVD method to form an ingot

Application/Control Number: 10/691,569 (Final Rejection)

Art Unit: 2814

- Species 4, wherein the epitaxial layer is grown by the sublimation method to form an ingot
- 3. The species are independent or distinct because they are not obvious variants of each other. Each of the species has a particular method of forming the epitaxial layer that makes each of the species patentably distinct one from the others.
- 4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 5. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 7. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 2814

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/691,569 (Final Rejection)

Art Unit: 2814

Claims 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 11.

Page 5

over Shibata (US 6270569) in view of Young (US 5962915).

Regarding claims 38 and 39, Shibata shows (see, e.g., fig. 14) most aspects of 12.

the instant invention including a method of making a GaN single crystal substrate

comprising an ingot forming step of forming an ingot of GaN single crystal by growing

an epitaxial layer 106 made of GaN on a GaN single crystal employed as a seed layer

111. Shibata, however, fails to show a cleaving/cutting step of the ingot into a plurality

of sheets. Young teaches that GaN wafers are prepared form single crystal ingots and

to be used in manufacturing applications require division of the wafers into components

by cleaving along natural cleavage planes of the wafer (see, e.g., Young: col.1/ll.13-17).

Young's method economically provides wafers from single crystal ingots and results in

an enhanced wafer that admit of simplifications in post-processing to construct

semiconductor components from such wafers (see, e.g., Young: col.1/II.9,10; col.2/II.40-

45; col.3/II.13-17).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in

the art to cut/cleave Shibata's ingot, as suggested by Young, to economically produce

wafers from the ingot.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Conclusion

Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by 14.

facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 2814 via the Art Unit 2814

Art Unit: 2814

Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications.

- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcos D. Pizarro-Crespo at (571) 272-1716 and between the hours of 10:00 AM to 8:30 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Thursday or by e-mail via Marcos.Pizarro@uspto.gov. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy, can be reached on (571) 272-1705.
- 16. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2814

17. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

Field of Search	Date
U.S. Class / Subclass(es): 438/460,464,478,492-496,503-509	2/19/2007
Other Documentation:	
Electronic Database(s): EAST (USPAT, EPO, JPO)	2/19/2007

Marcos D. Pizarro-Cespo

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2814

571-272-1716

marcos.pizarro@uspto.gov

MDP/mdp February 19, 2007